



CHEROKEE NATION Environmental Programs

LEAD-BASED PAINT INSPECTION & RISK ASSESSMENT REPORT

Conducted At:

Name: Laura Jo Weir
Address: 26100 South 500 Rd
City State Zip: Tahlequah, OK 74464
Coordinates: 35.79630, -95.00213
Built in: 1966

Prepared For:

HACN Housing Rehabilitation - George Hubbard
Using ODEQ, EPA and CN Work Practice Standards
Established in 40 CFR 745-227

Inspected By:

Chris Cochran
OKRASR13995, CNRASR00038

Cherokee Nation Environmental Programs
PO Box 948, Tahlequah, OK 74465
(918) 453-5000
Oklahoma Firm: OKFIRM11198
Cherokee Nation Firm: CNFIRM00001

Report Date: December 28th, 2023

CONTENTS

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
2.0 DISCLOSURE	3
3.0 INSPECTION/ RISK ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	4
3.1 Surface-by-Surface Inspection Methodology	4
3.2 X-Ray Fluorescence Analyzer Lead Detector	4
3.3 Risk Assessment Methodology.....	4
3.4 Description of Paint Condition Hazard Rankings	5
3.5 Laboratory Analysis	5
4.0 DESCRIPTION OF RESULTS	5
4.1 LBP Inspection	5
4.2 LBP Risk Assessment.....	6
4.3 Resident Questionnaire Form 5.0.....	6
4.4 Building Condition Form 5.1.....	7
4.5 Dust Wipe Sample Analysis	7
4.6 Soil Sample ANALYSIS	8
5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS	9
5.1 Deteriorated Lead-Based Paint	9
5.2 Lead Dust Control Options	9
5.3 Lead in Soil	10
6.0 Re-evaluation and Monitoring Schedule	10
APPENDIX A: XRF Field Data Sheets & Floor Plan	11
APPENDIX B: Dust Wipe & Soil Analysis	12
APPENDIX C: Scope of Work/Request	13

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A lead based paint inspection was conducted at the Laura Jo Weir site on November 22nd, 2023 as requested by the Cherokee Nation Housing Rehabilitation Department. The inspection confirmed the **presence of lead** in amounts greater than or equal to 1.0 mg/cm² in paint, using the inspection protocol in Chapter 7 of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development's (HUD) Guidelines for the Evaluation of Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing (2012). A Risk Assessment was performed to fulfill the requirements for a federally assisted rehabilitation.

The full inspection report can be found in Appendix A (XRF Field Data Sheets). Building components that were unable to be tested with an XRF and are assumed positive include the following:

N/A

The following is a summary of the survey findings for the subject property:

Interior Lead-Based Paint

No lead in paint identified.

Exterior Lead-Based Paint

Soffits A,B,C
Porch Ceiling A

Deteriorated Lead-Based Paint (Lead-Based Paint Hazards)

No Deteriorated Lead-Based Paint

Lead in Dust Hazards

No Lead in dust hazards were not identified.

Lead in Soil Hazards

No lead in soil hazards were not identified.

This executive summary has been prepared for the convenience of the users of this report. This summary does not contain all the information presented in this report and, therefore, the entire report should be read to assure all pertinent information is transmitted.

2.0 DISCLOSURE

A copy of this report or a summary of this report must be provided to new lessees (tenants) and purchasers of the property under Federal law (24 CFR part 35 and 40 CFR part 745) before they become obligated under a lease or sales contract. The complete report must also be provided to new purchasers and it must be made available to new tenants. Property owners (lessors) and sellers are also required to

distribute an educational pamphlet approved by the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and include standard warning language in their leases or sales contracts to ensure that parents have the information they need to protect their children from lead-based paint hazards

3.0 INSPECTION/ RISK ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

3.1 SURFACE-BY-SURFACE INSPECTION METHODOLOGY

A surface-by-surface lead-based paint inspection was performed to identify interior and exterior building components finished with lead-based paint. The inspection was performed inside the residence and on exterior surfaces of the residence using a portable X-Ray Fluorescence Analyzer (XRF). The inspection was limited to accessible painted and/or varnished surfaces. All substrates within inaccessible rooms are assumed positive for lead-based paint until access is available to prove otherwise.

The inspection was conducted in accordance with the EPA's work practice standards for conducting lead-based paint activities (40 CFR 745.227), HUD's Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing (Guidelines) with the 2012 revisions. Samples were collected to represent component types; therefore, it should be assumed that similar component types in the rest of that room or room equivalent also contain lead-based paint. When standing in any four-sided room facing side A, which coincides with the front of the dwelling, side B will be to the right, side C will be to the rear, and side D will be to the left (clockwise from side A).

When evaluating this report it is assumed that, according to Chapter 7 HUD Guidelines, if one testing combination (i.e. window, door) is positive for lead in an interior or exterior room equivalent, all other similar testing combinations in those areas are assumed to be positive. The same is true for negative readings.

3.2 X-RAY FLUORESCENCE ANALYZER LEAD DETECTOR

The sampling strategy utilized to determine the presence of lead-based paint adheres to the EPA Performance Characteristic Sheet for the particular XRF instrument used, as well as the manufacturers' modifications and recommendations. The Heuresis PB200i lead x-ray fluorescence analyzer (Serial Number: 2312) was used for detection of building components finished with lead-based paint. The instrument was manufactured by Viken Detection, 21 North Avenue, Burlington, MA 01803. The radioactive source is cobalt-57 and was last resourced on August 26, 2021.

Samples may be classified as positive or negative. Positive results indicate lead in quantities greater than 1.0 mg/cm² and are considered lead-based paint. Negative results indicate lead in quantities less than 1.0 mg/cm² and are not considered lead-based paint.

3.3 RISK ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

The lead-based paint risk assessment was performed to determine if the lead-based paint present in the residence presents an immediate hazard. This was accomplished through combining measurements of lead in dust, surface-by-surface paint analysis, visual assessment of the residence, assessment of paint condition, and by collecting maintenance and management data to identify and address lead-based paint hazards.

The risk assessment was performed in accordance with the EPA's work practice standards for conducting lead-based paint activities (40 CFR 745.227), HUD's Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing (Guidelines) with the 2012 revisions.

3.4 DESCRIPTION OF PAINT CONDITION HAZARD RANKINGS

The paint condition is placed into one of two categories using the risk assessor's professional judgment. These categories are intact or deteriorated. Type of deterioration may also be noted on surfaces in deteriorated condition. Based on the approximate surface area of deteriorated paint, the risk assessor then assesses the paint condition as intact or deteriorated. These conditions indicate the potential for lead hazards associated with paint condition and lead in household dust.

Hazard ranking protocol was performed in accordance with the HUD Guidelines for Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing, dated July 2012, Chapter 5: Risk Assessment and Reevaluation; Identification of Deteriorated Paint (Form 5.2). This information is summarized below.

Deteriorated

EPA regulations define deteriorated paint as "any interior or exterior paint or other coating that is peeling, chipping, chalking, or cracking, or any paint or coating located on an interior or exterior surface or fixture that is otherwise damaged or separated from the substrate"(40 CFR 745.63).

3.5 LABORATORY ANALYSIS

Laboratory analysis of dust wipe/soil samples were performed by QuanTem Laboratories (NLLAP 101352), 2033 Heritage Park Drive, Oklahoma City, OK 73120 Phone: 405-755-7272. Laboratory analysis of the dust wipes and soil samples are analyzed based on the EPA SW846-7420/ HUD – Flame Atomic Absorption.

4.0 DESCRIPTION OF RESULTS

This is a report of an X-ray Fluorescence (XRF) inspection and risk assessment to determine if lead-based paint exists in the readily accessible areas of this residence and tested components. The presence or absence of lead-based paint only applies to surfaces tested or assessed on the date of the field visit. According to HUD/EPA Guidelines, paint with concentrations of lead that exceed 1.0 mg/cm² must be considered a lead-based paint (LBP). However, detectable lead in quantities less than 1.0 mg/cm² may contribute to the development of lead dust hazards even though it is not considered a lead-based paint hazard.

4.1 LBP INSPECTION

Lead based paint was found on both the interior and exterior of the site. The positive readings are shown in the following table. The full report with all readings are in Appendix 1.

Reading #	Concentration	Unit	Room	Structure	Member	Substrate	Wall	Condition
82	1.0	mg/cm2	Exterior	Porch	Ceiling	Wood	A	Intact
84	1.3	mg/cm2	Exterior		Soffit	Wood	A	Intact
87	1.8	mg/cm2	Exterior		Soffit	Wood	B	Intact
88	1.4	mg/cm2	Exterior		Soffit	Wood	C	Intact

4.2 LBP RISK ASSESSMENT

Lead-based paint hazards and dust hazards were identified during the survey.

The lead hazards are:

- Exterior Soffit
- Exterior Porch Ceiling

Lead in Dust Hazards

-

Lead in Soil Hazards

-

4.3 RESIDENT QUESTIONNAIRE FORM 5.0

A resident questionnaire was completed as part of the Assessment, to help the identify particular use patterns, which may be associated with potential LBP hazards, such as opening and closing windows painted with LBP. The answers to the questionnaire were obtained during an interview with the occupants. Following is a summary of the information obtained during the interview.

Children in the Household:	None
Children's bed locations:	-
Children's eating locations:	-
Primary interior play area(s):	-
Primary exterior play area(s):	-
Pets:	-
Blood lead testing history:	-
Observed chewed surfaces:	-
Women of child bearing age:	1
Previous lead testing:	None
Frequently used entrances:	Front Door
Frequently opened windows:	None
Structure Cooling Method:	None
Gardening -type and location:	none
Plans for landscaping:	None
Cleaning regiment:	Weekly

Cleaning Methods: Mopping, sweeping, dusting, vacuuming
 Recent completed renovations: None
 Demolition debris on site: None
 Resident with work lead exposure: None
 Planned Renovations: A scope of work document for this residence is included in Appendix C.

4.4 BUILDING CONDITION FORM 5.1

Condition	Yes	No	Comments
Roof is missing parts of surfaces (tiles, boards, shakes, etc.)		X	
Roof has holes or large cracks		X	
Gutters or downspouts broken	X		
Chimney masonry cracked, bricks loose or missing, obviously out of plumb.		X	
Exterior or interior walls have obvious large cracks or holes, requiring more than routine painting.		X	
Exterior siding has missing boards or shingles		X	
Water stains on interior walls or ceilings	X		Bath Room
Walls or ceilings deteriorated		X	
More than "very small*" amount of paint in a room deteriorated		X	
Two or more windows or doors broken, missing, or boarded up		X	
Porch or steps have major elements broken, missing, or boarded up.		X	
Foundation has major cracks, missing material, structure leans, or visibly unsound		X	
Total Number	2	10	

*The "very small" amount is the de minimis amount under the HUD Lead Safe Housing Rule (24 CFR 35.1350(d)), or the amount of paint that is not "paint in poor condition" under the EPA lead training and certification ("402") rule (40 CFR 745.223)

Notes (including other conditions of concern):

4.5 DUST WIPE SAMPLE ANALYSIS

Dust wipe samples were collected in an effort to help determine the levels of lead-containing dust on the interior windowsills and floors. The following tables note the presence or absence of lead hazards in dust per the EPA risk assessment and clearance standards. Please refer to Appendix B for detailed

analytical reports. The presence of these hazards indicates that sample results exceed the following EPA criteria:

- 10 ug/ft² for floors, including carpeted floors
- 100 ug/ft² for interior window sills
- 100 ug/ft² for interior window troughs

The following table indicates the sample number, location, surface type, lead concentration, and presence or absence of lead dust hazards for dust wipe samples collected during this LBP Risk Assessment:

Dust Wipe Sample Analysis				
Sample #	Location	Surface Types	Concentration (Micrograms/ft²)	Lead Hazard
01	Living Room	Floor	<5.0	NO
02	Living Room	Window Sill	16	NO
03	Bathroom	Floor	<5.0	NO
04	Bathroom	Window Sill	17	NO
05	Porch	Floor	<5.0	NO

4.6 SOIL SAMPLE ANALYSIS

The EPA has established lead hazard standards for lead in soil under TSCA Section 403 (Residential Lead Hazards). Please refer to Appendix B for detailed analytical reports. The following level of lead in soil should be considered hazardous and may result in excessive lead exposure and elevated blood lead levels:

- 400 milligrams per kilogram (mg/Kg) in children's play areas with bare residential soil (e.g., sandboxes, gardens)
- 1,200 mg/Kg (average) in bare soil for the remainder of the yard.

The following table indicates the sample number, location, surface type, lead concentration, and presence or absence of lead soil hazards for soil samples collected during this LBP Risk Assessment:

Soil Sample Analysis				
Sample #	Location	Bare or Covered	Concentration (Micrograms/ft ²)	Lead Hazard
06	Dripline	Bare	<40	NO

5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 DETERIORATED LEAD-BASED PAINT

Room or Exterior Location	Component	Type of Hazard	Approximate Area or Length	Acceptable Hazard Control Options	
				Interim	Abatement
Exterior Side A	Porch Ceiling	Paint		Wet scrape/Repaint	Replace or Enclose
Exterior Side A,B,C	Soffit	Paint		Wet scrape/Repaint	Replace or Enclose

5.2 LEAD DUST CONTROL OPTIONS

Room	Surface	Acceptable Hazard Control Method

5.3 LEAD IN SOIL

Type Of Area	Location	Acceptable Hazard Control Options	

6.0 RE-EVALUATION AND MONITORING SCHEDULE

Each of these treatments will need to be reexamined periodically to make certain that they remain effective and to ensure that new lead-based paint hazards do not appear. The interim controls shown above are less expensive initially, but they may be more expensive in the end since they need to be reevaluated more frequently. The replacement and paint removal methods are more expensive initially, but do not require any reevaluation.

The owner should monitor the condition of the paint at least annually or if there is some indication, that paint might be failing. A professional reevaluation is also needed. The standard schedule for reevaluation the dwelling is shown above.

Re-evaluation: Standard Re-evaluation Schedule 3 contained in the HUD Guidelines does not applies to this property. Therefore, the dwelling should be reevaluated in N/A (12 months from now). If no lead-based paint hazards are identified at that time, another reevaluation should be conducted in N/A (2 years later). If no lead-based paint hazards are identified at that time, no further reevaluations are needed. However, since lead-based paint may be present in the dwelling, the owner should monitor the condition of all painted surfaces at least annually or whenever other information indicates a potential problem.

APPENDIX A: XRF Field Data Sheets & Floor Plan

Viken Detection

Pb200i

XRF Lead Paint Analyzer

2312

Pb200i-5.3.1

Reading #	Concentra Units	3 SD	Result	NomSecs	Date	-->RoomCl Structure	-->Membe Substrate	Wall	Condition	
1	1.17 mg/cm2		0.07	20.15	11/22/2023	Calibration		4	4	
2	1.24 mg/cm2		0.07	20.27	11/22/2023	Calibration		5	5	
3	1.19 mg/cm2		0.07	20.08	11/22/2023	Calibration		6	6	
4	0.3 mg/cm2		0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Living Roo Room	Wall	Drywall1	A1	Intact
5	0.2 mg/cm2		0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Living Roo Room	Wall	Drywall2	A2	Intact
6	0.2 mg/cm2		0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Living Roo Room	Wall	Drywall3	A3	Intact
7	0.2 mg/cm2		0.3 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Living Roo Room	Wall	Drywall4	A4	Intact
8	0.2 mg/cm2		0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Living Roo Room	Ceiling	Drywall5	A4	1 Intact
9	0.1 mg/cm2		0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Living Roo Room	Baseboard	Wood1	A1	Intact
10	0 mg/cm2		0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Living Roo Door	Jamb	Wood2	A2	Intact
11	0 mg/cm2		0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Living Roo Door	Jamb	Wood3	A3	Intact
12	0.2 mg/cm2		0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Living Roo Window	Sill	Wood4	A4	Intact
13	0.2 mg/cm2		0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Bedroom : Window	Sill	Wood5	A5	Intact
14	0.2 mg/cm2		0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Bedroom : Door	Jamb	Wood6	C1	Intact
15	0.1 mg/cm2		0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Bedroom : Door	Jamb	Wood7	C2	Intact
16	0.1 mg/cm2		0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Bedroom : Room	Baseboard	Wood8	C3	Intact
17	0.1 mg/cm2		0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Bedroom : Room	Ceiling	Drywall1	A1	1 Intact
18	0.1 mg/cm2		0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Bedroom : Room	Wall	Wood1	A1	Intact
19	0.3 mg/cm2		0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Bedroom : Room	Wall	Wood2	A2	Intact
20	0.1 mg/cm2		0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Bedroom : Room	Wall	Wood3	A3	Intact
21	0.2 mg/cm2		0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Bedroom : Room	Wall	Wood4	A4	Intact
22	0.3 mg/cm2		0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Bedroom : Room	Wall	Wood5	A5	Intact
23	0 mg/cm2		0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Bedroom : Room	Wall	Wood6	A6	Intact
24	0.1 mg/cm2		0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Bedroom : Room	Wall	Wood7	A7	Intact
25	0.2 mg/cm2		0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Bedroom : Room	Wall	Wood8	A8	Intact
26	0.3 mg/cm2		0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Bedroom : Room	Ceiling	Drywall1	A1	1 Intact
27	0.1 mg/cm2		0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Bedroom : Room	Baseboard	Wood1	A1	Intact

28	0.2 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Bedroom ; Door		Wood2	A2	Intact
29	0.1 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Bedroom ; Door	Jamb	Wood3	A3	Intact
30	0.3 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Bedroom ; Window	Sill	Wood4	C1	Intact
31	0 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Bathroom Cabinets	Door	Wood5	B1	Intact
32	0.2 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Bathroom Cabinets	Frame	Wood6	B2	Intact
33	0.2 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Bathroom Window	Sill	Wood7	C1	Intact
34	0 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Bathroom Door	Jamb	Wood8	A1	Intact
35	0.1 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Bathroom Door	Jamb	Wood9	A2	Intact
36	0.1 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Bathroom Room	Baseboard	Wood10	A3	Intact
37	0.2 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Bathroom Room	Ceiling	Drywall1		1 Intact
38	0.3 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Bathroom Room	Wall	Drywall2	A1	Intact
39	0.1 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Bathroom Room	Wall	Drywall3	A2	Intact
40	0.1 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Bathroom Room	Wall	Drywall4	A3	Intact
41	0 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Bathroom Room	Wall	Drywall5	A4	Intact
42	0.2 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Bedroom ; Room	Wall	Drywall6	A5	Intact
43	0.2 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Bedroom ; Room	Wall	Drywall7	A6	Intact
44	0.1 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Bedroom ; Room	Wall	Drywall8	A7	Intact
45	0 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Bedroom ; Room	Wall	Drywall9	A8	Intact
46	0.2 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Bedroom ; Room	Ceiling	Drywall10		1 Intact
47	0 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Bedroom ; Room	Baseboard	Wood1	A1	Intact
48	0 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Bedroom ; Door	Baseboard	Wood2	B1	Intact
49	0.1 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Bedroom ; Door	Jamb	Wood3	B2	Intact
50	0 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Bedroom ; Window	Sill	Wood4	C1	Intact
51	0.2 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Kitchen/Di Cabinets	Door	Wood5	C2	Intact
52	0.1 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Kitchen/Di Cabinets	Frame	Wood6	C3	Intact
53	0.1 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Kitchen/Di Window	Sill	Wood7	C4	Intact
54	0 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Kitchen/Di Door	Jamb	Wood8	C5	Intact
55	0.2 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Kitchen/Di Room	Baseboard	Wood9	B1	Intact
56	0.2 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Kitchen/Di Room	Ceiling	Drywall1		1 Intact
57	0 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Kitchen/Di Room	Wall	Wood1	A1	Intact
58	0.2 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Kitchen/Di Room	Wall	Wood2	A2	Intact
59	0 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Kitchen/Di Room	Wall	Wood3	A3	Intact
60	0 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Kitchen/Di Room	Wall	Wood4	A4	Intact
61	0.4 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Laundry R Room	Wall	Drywall1	A5	Intact

62	0.2 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Laundry R Room	Wall	Drywall2	A6	Intact
63	0.3 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Laundry R Room	Wall	Drywall3	A7	Intact
64	0.2 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Laundry R Room	Wall	Drywall4	A8	Intact
65	0.3 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Laundry R Room	Ceiling	Drywall5		1 Intact
66	0 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Laundry R Room	Baseboard	Wood1	D1	Intact
67	0.1 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Laundry R Door	Wood2	Wood2	B1	Intact
68	0 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Laundry R Door	Jamb	Wood3	B2	Intact
69	0.2 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Laundry R Window	Sill	Wood4	C1	Intact
70	0.1 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Bathroom Cabinets	Door	Wood5	D1	Intact
71	0.1 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Bathroom Cabinets	Frame	Wood6	D2	Intact
72	0.1 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Bathroom Window	Sill	Wood7	C1	Intact
73	0.1 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Bathroom Door	Jamb	Wood8	B1	Intact
74	0 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Bathroom Room	Baseboard	Wood9	B2	Intact
75	0.2 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Bathroom Room	Ceiling	Drywall1		1 Intact
76	0.1 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Bathroom Room	Wall	Drywall2	A1	Intact
77	0.2 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Bathroom Room	Wall	Drywall3	A2	Intact
78	0.3 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	3	11/22/2023	Bathroom Room	Wall	Drywall4	A3	Intact
79	0.3 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Bathroom Room	Wall	Drywall5	A4	Intact
80	0 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Door	Wood1	Wood1	A5	Intact
81	0 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Door	Jamb	Wood2	A6	Intact
82	1 mg/cm2	0.1 Positive	5	11/22/2023	Porch	Ceiling	Drywall1	A7	Intact
83	0 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023	Porch	Column	Wood1	A8	Intact
84	1.3 mg/cm2	0.2 Positive	3	11/22/2023		Soffit	Wood2	A9	Intact
85	0.1 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023		Fascia	Wood3	A10	Intact
86	0.3 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023		Fascia	Wood4	B1	Intact
87	1.8 mg/cm2	0.2 Positive	2	11/22/2023		Soffit	Wood5	B2	Intact
88	1.4 mg/cm2	0.2 Positive	2	11/22/2023		Soffit	Wood6	C1	Intact
89	0 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023		Fascia	Wood7	C2	Intact
90	0.1 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	3	11/22/2023		Door	Wood1	C3	Intact
91	0.2 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023		Door	Wood2	C4	Intact
92	1.5 mg/cm2	0.2 Positive	2	11/22/2023		Soffit	Wood3	D1	Intact
93	0.6 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023		Fascia	Wood4	D2	Intact
94	0.2 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023		Room	Metal1	A1	Intact
95	0.3 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2	11/22/2023		Room	Metal2	B1	Intact

96	0.2 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Room	Wall	Metals3	C1	Intact
97	0.2 mg/cm2	0.2 Negative	2 11/22/2023	Room	Wall	Metals4	D1	Intact
98	1.12 mg/cm2	0.07	20.09 11/22/2023 Calibration				1	1
99	1.18 mg/cm2	0.07	20.28 11/22/2023 Calibration				2	2
100	1.21 mg/cm2	0.07	20.06 11/22/2023 Calibration				3	3

APPENDIX B: DUST WIPE & SOIL ANALYSIS



2033 HERITAGE PARK DR, OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73120 1.800.822.1650

Environmental Chemistry Analysis Report

Quantem Set ID: 364382
Date Received: 11/28/23
Received By: Baylie Longstreth
Date Sampled:
Time Sampled:
Analyst: CR
Date of Report: 11/29/23
 AIHA LAP, LLC: 101352

Client: Cherokee Nation Environmental Programs
 Chris Cochran
 PO Box 948
 Tahlequah, OK 74464
Acct. No.: C162
Project: ~~Amber Mamm~~ *Lave To Weir*
Location: Tahlequah
Project No.: N/A

Quantem ID	Client ID	Matrix	Parameter	Results	Reporting Limits	Units	Date/Time Analyzed	Method
001	01	Wipe	Lead	<5.0	5	ug/sq. Ft.	11/28/23 14:24	NIOSH 7082
002	02	Wipe	Lead	16	10	ug/sq. Ft.	11/28/23 14:24	NIOSH 7082
003	03	Wipe	Lead	<5.0	5	ug/sq. Ft.	11/28/23 14:24	NIOSH 7082
004	04	Wipe	Lead	17	10	ug/sq. Ft.	11/28/23 14:24	NIOSH 7082
005	05	Wipe	Lead	<5.0	5	ug/sq. Ft.	11/28/23 14:24	NIOSH 7082
006	06	Soil	Lead	<40	40	mg/kg	11/29/23 13:48	Soil EPA 7000B (1)

Authorized Signature: _____
 Cherry Rossen, Technical Manager

Note: Sample results have not been corrected for blank values.

This report applies only to the standards or procedures indicated and to the specific samples tested. It is not indicative of the qualities of apparently identical or similar products or procedures, nor does it represent an ongoing assurance program unless so noted. These reports are for the exclusive use of the client and are not to be reproduced without specific written permission. Quantem is not responsible for user-supplied data used in calculations. Customer provided data such as volumes, areas, etc., cannot be verified by Quantem Laboratories, LLC.

Unless otherwise noted, upon receipt the condition of the sample was acceptable for analysis.

Wipe materials must meet ASTM E1792 criteria. Method detection limits and resultant reporting limits may not be valid for non-ASTM E1792 wipe material.

EPA Method 7000B (1) = EPA 600/R-93/200 Preparation Modified, EPA 7000B Analysis Modified

EPA Method 7082 (2) = EPA 600/R-93/200 Preparation Modified, EPA 7082 Analysis Modified

Supplemental Report QAQC Results

QA ID: 21026
Test: Lead

Date: 11/28/2023
Matrix: Wipe

Lab Number: 364382
Approved By: Cherry Rossen
Date Approved: 11/28/2023

Notes:

Blank Data:

Type of Blank	Blank Value
FCB	0
ICB	0
Matrix Blank	0

Standards Data:

Standard	Low Limit	Obtained	High Limit
CCV	2.2	2.6	2.8
FCV	2.2	2.6	2.8
RLVS	0.05	0.09	0.15
ICV	0.9	1	1.1

Duplicate Data:

Recovery Data:

Sample Number	Result	Spike Level	Result + Spike	% Recovery	Dup. Result + Spike	% Dup. Recovery	% Spike RPD
MS-W1	0.000	2.428	2.538	104.5	2.525	104.0	0.5

Authorized Signature: _____

Cherry Rossen, Technical Manager

Supplemental Report QAQC Results

QA ID: 21030
Test: Lead

Date: 11/29/2023
Matrix: Soil

Lab Number: 364382
Approved By: Cherry Rossen
Date Approved: 11/29/2023

Notes:

Blank Data:

Type of Blank	Blank Value
FCB	0
ICB	0
Matrix Blank	0

Standards Data:

Standard	Low Limit	Obtained	High Limit
CCV	2.2	2.6	2.8
FCV	2.2	2.6	2.8
RLVS	0.08	0.13	0.24
ICV	0.9	1	1.1

Duplicate Data:

Recovery Data:

Sample Number	Result	Spike Level	Result + Spike	% Recovery	Dup. Result + Spike	% Dup. Recovery	% Spike RPD
LCS-S1	0.000	2.428	2.625	108.1	2.595	106.9	1.2

Authorized Signature: 

Cherry Rossen, Technical Manager



LEAD CHAIN OF CUSTODY

2033 Heritage Park Drive, Oklahoma City, OK 73120-7502
 (800) 822-1650 • (405) 755-7272 • Fax: (405) 755-2058

For Lab Use Only
 Lab No. 364382
 Accept Reject

LEGAL DOCUMENT - PLEASE PRINT LEGIBLY

Contact Information		Project Information	
Company: Cherokee Nation Environmental Programs	Phone: (918) 453-5009	Project Name: <u>Amber-Meyer Law Firm</u>	Report Results (check one box)
Contact: Christopher Cochran	Cell Phone: (918) 316-7452	Project Location: <u>Tahlequah</u>	<input type="radio"/> Quantem Website
Account #: C162	E-mail: <u>christopher-cochran@cherokee.org</u>	Project ID:	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Email <u>christopher-cochran@cherokee.org</u>
SAMPLED BY: Name: Christopher Cochran	Date: 11/22/2023	PO Number: 874812	<input type="radio"/> Other

RELINQUISHED BY	DATE & TIME	VIA	RECEIVED BY	DATE & TIME
Christopher Cochran	11/22/2023	Fed Ex		11/28/23 9:30

No.	Sample ID (10 Characters Max)	Sample Description	Volume or Area	Flame Atomic Absorption				Other Analysis	TURNAROUND TIME		
				EPA 7000B	NIOSH 7082	Other Analysis	Other Analysis				
				Paint Chips w/ % ppm mg/cm ²	Soil (mg/kg)	Wipes (ug/ft ²)	Air (ug/m ³)	TCLP - Pb	TCLP - RCRA 8	Other	<input type="radio"/> Same Day <input checked="" type="radio"/> 24 - Hour <input type="radio"/> 3 - Day <input type="radio"/> 5 - Day
1	01	Living Room Floor	144 sq in			✓					
2	02	Living Room Window	76 sq in			✓					
3	03	Bathroom Floor	144 sq in			✓					
4	04	Bathroom Window	77 sq in			✓					
5	05	Porch Floor	144 sq in			✓					
6	06	Drip Line					✓				
7											
8											
9											
10											
11											

REQUESTED SERVICES (Please check the appropriate boxes)

SATURDAY FEDEX SAMPLE DELIVERY - CALL TO SCHEDULE • Use this address for Saturday Delivery only: 4220 N. Santa Fe Ave., Oklahoma City, OK 73105-8517 • Mark Package "Hold for Saturday Pickup"
 Please Note - UPS and USPS are NOT available for Saturday Delivery

APPENDIX C: SCOPE OF WORK/REQUEST